## Case 1:07/04-00079/WGMTD 570555 ment/26 CT Filed 09/03/2008 Page 1 of 5

Michael L. Jones Plaintiff

Day trial Demanded

Ray nard Jones and Audrey Evans Defendants

individually and in their official capacity

Plaintiffs Response to defendants Answer to Complain



1. Defendant claims that Plaintiff Pailed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, which is totally frivalues and without menit, Retaliation against a prisoner for filing a gricuance on complaining about treatment by officials states a claim upon which relief can be granted under & 1983 42 U.S.CA 1933 Simpson v Penobscot Country Sheriffs Dept. 285 F. Supp 2d 75. Plaintiff claim is Retaliation.

2. Defendant claims Plaintiff has failed to exhaust his administrates remedies which is also frivolus and without mereit. The Plaintiff wrote a quievance which was never answered and Plaintiff also wrote numerous personal about currectional officer Raymored Junes and his actions all complaints functe are inclosed in the 1983 complaint as exhibits and after the coval orders the defendants to proclude documents it will be well noted that Plaintiff did infact exhaust all remedies.

3. Defendant claims this action is barred by the applicable statute of limitations which is Also totally frivolves and with and merit Plaintiff waited almost 5 munths to file this complaint cause Plaintiff was exhausting all remedies and unclei 1983. 42 USC the statue of limitations is 2 years Plaintiff filed his complaints in mere munths.

frivolves and without mend at all times in Plaintiffs complaint each defendant

acted inder color of state luw so they are not immore

5. Defendants states they are entitled to gratified immunity which is also fivulus and without merit they are liable. Prison officers filing of fake accusations against prisoner, when done in <u>RETALIATION</u> for prisoners protected conclust is actionable under § 1933 U.S.C.A CONST. AMENDI 42 U.S.C.A 1983 GASTON V Coughlin 81 F. Supp 21 381

Le Defendant claims as to any claims uncles state law they are entitled to immunity uncles the state took Chains act, this is n/so Fire iws and without ment Plaint it didn't be a state took Claim Plaintiff filed a 1933, 42 U.S. C uncles the civil rights Act.

- 7 Defendant claims they are entitled to sovereign immunity as to any claims under state law which is also frivolous and without merit, under color of state law they are liable for their actions.
- 8. Defendants claim Negligence is not a cause of action under 42 U.SC. 8/983 + his is totally frivilus and without merit cause Plaintiff claim is RETALIATION which is Actionable under 42 U.S. C. 8/983
- 9. Deferdant claim they are not liable in thick officed Capacities as they are not persons is Also Frivolus and with out ment Plaintiff Claims that they are liable in the Individual and officed capacities under absent state law
- Defendant claim service of process was improper or insufficient this is totally with int mend and frivolus defendant is, just fishing for any thing to have the courts say they are not liable service was proper and sufficient as sufficient by a Plaintiff with eight grade education litigating with limit means.

11 Defendant claims the Court Packs person jurisdiction Flagor of the complaint should be the Dismissed. This is totally frivalus and without mend cond ludacris, the Defendants are toying to undermine the court and the Judicial system with these frivalus and fraudulent claims the defendants need to be held accountable for thiere wrong cloing the evidence is clear, concise, and conclusive that Phaintitt was retaliated against for exercising his constitutional right. Plaintiffs complaint should be granted.

## Relief

1 Defendant stated Plaintiff is not entitled to any compensatory, punitive or monetary damages. According to Damages 115 Key 37(1) Punitive damages are awarded to punish and deter reprehensible conclust. Nominal damages are awarded to vindicale rights Damages keys 115(9)

2. Defindant stated the Plaintiff is not entitled to any injunctive, declaratory or other relief. Plaintiff is entitled, the defindants with sinster intentional a false report on plaintiff cause Plaintiff wrote complaints against him to hart the Plaintiff and get his institutional Job taller, the defendants need not be nowhere in the wrea as the Plaintiff, they already made one false report Plaintiff duesal want anymore false accusation pend on him.

WHERE PORT. Plaintiff respectfully request that Indgement be entered in his favore against defendants and all thick frivolves claims to undermine the court and that Defendants pay thick were Attorney fees.

Respectfully Submitted.

Dated · August 30, 2008

Plaintiff

Michael L. Jones 417267

Michael L. Jones 417267

JTVCC

1181 Paddock Rd

Smyrna Del

19977

## **Certificate of Service**

I, Michael J. Jones,	hereby certify that I have served a true
and correct cop(ies) of the attached: Response to defendants response to	
Plaint. Pls 1983 complaint	upon the following
parties/person (s):	
To: Office of the prothon-lawy  Mitel States District Cond  844 N King Street Luckbox 18  Wilm Del  1980	TO: Atlarny brone ou. 1:10 370 W. French Heat Wilmington Deluume 1980
TO:	TO:
BY PLACING SAME IN A SEALED ENVELO States Mail at the Delaware Correctional Center, Sr	
On this 30 day of August, 2008	

UNIT SBI# 4/1247

JAMES T. VAUGHN CORRECTIONAL CENTER 1181 PADDOCK ROAD

SMYRN&DELAWARE 19977

United States District

-EGALMARIC"

こうせつ アダーン

**|-**-

WILMINGTONION OF THE AN SELVINOS DE